RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 6 2005

Patent

Case No.: 56313US009

32692 Customer Number

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE.

First Named Inventor:

DAMS, RUDOLF J.

Application No.:

10/053396

Group Art Unit:

1714

Filed:

January 17, 2002

Examiner:

Costales, Shruti S.

Title:

FLUOROCHEMICAL COMPOSITION COMPRISING A FLUOROCHEMICAL OLIGOMERIC SILANE FOR RENDERING SUBSTRATES OIL AND WATER

REPELLENT

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111 AND PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

It ransmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □

Sept. 6,2005

by: Joyce M. Courtney

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted responsive to the Office Action of March 3, 2005, wherein the Oath/Declaration was deemed defective; the specification was objected to for informalities; claims 2, 12, and 13 were objected to for informalities; claims 15 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph; claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph; claim 1 was rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,649,272; claims 1-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 5,980,992 (Kistner et al.) in view of the *Polymer Science Dictionary* by Mark Alger (1997); claims 14-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 5,276,175 (Darns et al.); and claims 1-11 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 4,886,862.